arXiv:1409.6026v1 [math.CO] 21 Sep 2014

NON-ZERO INTEGRAL FRIEZES

BRUCE FONTAINE

Abstract. We study non-zero integral friezes for Dynkin types A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n and G_2. These differ from standard Coxeter-Conway (positive) friezes by allowing any non-zero integer to appear. In each case we show that there are either 1, 2 or 4 times as many non-zero friezes as positive friezes. This is a first step for considering friezes over general rings of integers.

1. Introduction

In 1973 Coxeter and Conway [CC] studied what they called friezes. These were grids of strictly positive (non-zero) integers on the plane satisfying a determinant condition. For instance the following is a frieze height 3:

	1		1		1		1		1		1		1
4		1		2		2		2		1		4	
	3		1		3		3		1		3		3
2		2		1		4		1		2		2	
	1		1		1		1		1		1		1

Here the height measures the number of rows between the two rows of 1s. In the above figure, every two by two diamond $\begin{matrix} & a & \\ b & & c \\ & d & \end{matrix}$ satisfies $ad+1=bc$ or $ad-bc=1$.

Coxeter-Conway showed the following was true:

Theorem 1.1. [CC] *There are $C(k+1)$ friezes of height k where $C(k+1)$ is the $k+1$-st Catalan number.*

They discovered this by connecting friezes to triangulations of polygons. They observed that a frieze of height k corresponds the labeling of each possible arc that can occur in a triangulation of a $k+3$-gon with a positive integer. They required that the external arcs be labeled 1 and that for any 4 arcs forming a quadrilateral, the labels of those arcs and the two internal diagonal arcs satisfy the the Ptolemy relation: that the sum of product of opposite sides is the product of the diagonals.

If one considers the zigzag triangulation, the labels recover a zigzag column of the frieze. Moreover, successive columns are recovered by rotating the zigzag. Finally, they show that for any such assignment of integers to polygon arcs, the set of arcs labeled 1 form a triangulation. And conversely

if one starts with a triangulation where all arcs are labeled 1, the remaining arcs are uniquely determined by the Ptolemy relations and are all positive integers.

More recently, it was noted by Caldero [ARS10], that there is a deep connection to cluster algebras. Indeed, due to the above connection to triangulations, a frieze can be interpreted as an evaluation of the cluster variables of the Dynkin type A_k cluster algebra such that each cluster variable is sent to a positive integer. An alternative, but equivalent formulation is that a frieze is a ring homomorphism from the cluster algebra to $\mathbb{Z}$ such that each cluster variable is mapped to a positive integer.

Constructing a frieze is simple: pick a cluster in the cluster algebra and assign to each variable in the cluster the value 1. Then due to the positivity of the exchange relations, each other cluster variable must evaluate to a positive number. Moreover, due to the Laurent phenomenon (every cluster variable can be expressed as a Laurent polynomial with integral coefficients in terms of the cluster variables in a single cluster), every other cluster variable evaluates to an integer.

In this paper we will relax the definition by allowing negative integers to appear. Given a cluster algebra, a **non-zero integral frieze** is an assignment of a non-zero integer to each cluster variable satisfying the mutation rules. We will use the term positive frieze to denote a frieze which has all cluster variables evaluated to positive integers. Alternatively it is a ring homomorphism from the cluster algebra to $\mathbb{Z}$ that sends each cluster variable to a non-zero integer.

The following 3 theorems are extensions of the results in [FP]:

Theorem 1.2. *For A_k, the number of non-zero integral friezes is twice the number of positive friezes when k is odd and the same number when k is even.*

Theorem 1.3. *For B_k and C_k, there are twice the number of non-zero integral friezes as positive friezes.*

Theorem 1.4. *For D_k there are 4 times as many non-zero friezes when k is even and 2 times as many when k is odd.*

Theorem 1.5. *For G_2 there are 9 non-zero integral friezes and they are all positive.*

I would like to thank Pierre-Guy Plamondon, Allen Knutson and Richard Stanley for useful discussions and the Sage mathematics community for their software and its cluster algebra implementation.

2. Admissible labelings of triangulations

Consider a triangulation of an n-gon whose arcs (including boundary arcs) are labeled by ± 1. A labeling is said to be **admissible** if for any 4-cycle, the product of the labels around the cycle is 1.



FIGURE 1. An admissible labeling of a 6-gon with boundary state $-1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1$

The **boundary state** of a labeled triangulation is simply the set of boundary labels considered with their cyclic order. It will be of interest to understand the structure of admissible labelings. Specifically, we want to know what the possible boundary states for an admissible labeling are and given such a boundary state, which admissible labelings have that boundary state.

Lemma 2.1. *Given an admissible labeling of a n-gon where n is even, the labeling formed by negating all arcs of even length (i.e. the end points of the arc have an odd number of vertices between them) gives another admissible labeling.*

Proof. Given a 4 cycle in the triangulation, since the set of lengths of these arcs adds to n, which is even, it follows that there are an even number of arcs of even length in the 4 cycle. But then the negation of the signs on the arcs of even length cancels when we take the product of all the labels on the 4 cycle. Thus the product of the labels is still one for each 4 cycle. □

Note that this operation acts as an involution on the set of admissible labellings.

Theorem 2.2. *Given a triangulation of an n-gon and a boundary state consisting of ± 1, it extends to an admissible labeling of the triangulation if and only if n is odd or n is even and the product of the boundary state is 1. More over, when n is odd, there is a unique extension to an admissible labeling of the triangulation and when n is even and the product is 1, there are exactly two extensions related by the involution of lemma 2.1*

Proof. In the case of $n = 3$, this is true, since the triangulation is trivial, there are only the boundary labels and every state leads to an admissible labeling.

When $n = 4$, since the boundary is a 4-cycle, a labeling is admissible if and only if the product of the boundary labels is 1. The single internal arc of the triangulation can be labeled ± 1 giving rise to exactly two admissible labelings for each boundary state. Since the internal arc is of length 2, these two are related by lemma 2.1.

For general $n > 4$ we proceed via induction. Note that any triangulation must have at least one arc of length 2. Consider cutting the triangle that the arc forms off the triangulation. The result is a triangulation of an $n-1$-gon. Now we break into two cases, based on the parity of n.



Let n be odd and pick an arbitrary boundary state a_i. If there exists an admissible labeling for this boundary state, its restriction to the $n-1$-gon will be an admissible labeling. Since $n-1$ is even, by induction the only way this can happen is if $c = \prod_{i=3}^{n} b_i$, since $c, b_3, \ldots, b_n$ is the boundary state for the $n-1$-gon. By induction there are two possible admissible labelings that extend this boundary state. Note that exactly one of the two edges labeled e and d is even length and the other is odd. Since the two labelings are related by lemma 2.1 it follows that in one labeling $de = 1$ and in the other $de = -1$. Since $b_1 b_2$ is fixed, only one of the two satisfies $de = b_1 b_2$. I.e. there is one admissible labeling for the boundary state b_i.

If n is even, once again start with a boundary state b_i. Given a choice of c, by induction it uniquely extends to an admissible labeling of the $n-1$-gon. Thus we have at most two admissible labelings of the n-gon with boundary b_i. In order for this labeling to be admissible we must have $b_1 b_2 = de$.

Let $g = \prod_{i=2}^{k} b_i$ and $h = \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} b_i$. Consider the edges labeled d and e. They are either both of even length or odd length. If they are of odd length, consider the polygon formed from e and the boundary edges b_2 to b_k and the polygon formed from d and the boundary edges b_{k+1} to b_n. These are both even, so $eg = dh = 1$. Otherwise if they are of even length consider the polygons formed by e, c and b_{k+1} to b_n and by d, c and b_2 to b_k. Since they



FIGURE 2. Transforming a positive integral frieze into a non-zero frieze containing negative entries.

are both even, we have $ech = dcg = 1$. In either case this gives $de = gh$. Note that this is independent of our choices of c.

Thus if $\prod b_i \neq 1$, then $b_1 b_2 \neq gh = de$ and there are no extensions. Otherwise there are exactly 2 as determined by the sign of c. □

3. Non-zero integral A_{n-3} friezes

In [FZ1], it is shown that the clusters in the type A_{n-3} cluster algebra are associated to the triangulations of n-gon with the boundary arcs labeled 1. The cluster variables are the arcs in triangulations and the relations (mutations) between them are the Ptolemy relation on quadrilaterals.

A **non-zero integral frieze** is an evaluation of the cluster variables such that each cluster variable evaluates to a non-zero integer. In the language of triangulations of an n-gon, this corresponds to labeling each internal arc with a non-zero integer such that the labeling satisfies the Ptolemy relation.

In order to understand non-zero integral friezes of type A_{n-3} we will need to consider friezes of a slightly enlarged cluster algebra FA_{n-3} where we add a frozen variable for each boundary arc. The value of the frozen variables corresponding to the boundary arcs will be called the **boundary state** and thus the non-zero integral friezes of type FA_{n-3} with boundary state $1, \ldots, 1$ will be the non-zero integral friezes of type A_{n-1}.

Theorem 3.1. *There are $2C(n-2)$ non-zero integral A_{n-3} friezes when n is even and $C(n-2)$ when n is odd.*

Note that the the $C(n-2)$ positive friezes of Coxeter-Conway are the portion of non-zero integral friezes that are positive. When n is even one can obtain the remaining $C(n-2)$ non-zero integral friezes from the positive integral friezes in the following way:

Lemma 3.2. *If n is even, there exists a non trivial involution σ on the set of non-zero integral FA_{n-3} friezes: Negate all labels in the frieze on arcs of even length.*

Proof. Beginning with a non-zero integral frieze F, consider any quadrilateral in the triangulation of the n-gon. Since n is even the parity of the lengths of the arcs of the quadrilateral fall into 4 general cases: all odd, all even, (odd,even,odd,even) and (odd,odd,even,even).

In each case the sign difference between F and $\sigma(F)$ cancels in the Ptolemy relation, either because the summands are of total degree 2 and each term in a monomial is negated, or because one term in each of the three summands is negated. □

Lemma 3.3. *Consider a non-zero integral FA_{n-3} frieze. Let $\{b_i\}$ be its boundary state and a_i the labels of the arcs of length 2 such that arcs labeled a_i, b_i and b_{i+1} form a triangle. Then there exists an index i such that $|a_i| < |b_i| + |b_{i+1}|$.*

Note that this proof is a variation of that of Coxeter-Conway in the case of positive friezes.

Proof. Fix a vertex of the polygon and let f_i denote the integers labeling the $n-1$ arcs at a fixed vertex ordered clockwise. Let b_i be the boundary state, starting clockwise from the fixed vertex and a_i as in the statement.



Suppose the lemma is not true, then $|a_i| \geq |b_i| + |b_{i+1}|$ for all i. For each i the cluster mutation relations are $f_{i-1}b_{i+1} + f_{i+1}b_i = a_i f_i$. Taking absolute value of both sides:

$$|f_{i-1}b_{i+1} + f_{i+1}b_i| = |a_i||f_i|.$$

Applying triangle inequality to the left side and using $|a_i| \geq |b_i| + |b_{i+1}|$ on the right, we get

$$|f_{i-1}||b_{i+1}| + |f_{i+1}||b_i| \geq |b_i||f_i| + |b_{i+1}||f_i|.$$

Rearranging we have

$$\frac{|f_{i+1}| - |f_i|}{|b_{i+1}|} \geq \frac{|f_i| - |f_{i-1}|}{|b_i|}.$$

Thus the sequence $\frac{|f_i|-|f_{i-1}|}{|b_i|}$ from $i = 2$ to $n-1$ is increasing.



FIGURE 3. Obstructions for non-zero friezes

When $i = 2$, we have

$$\frac{|f_2| - |f_1|}{|b_2|} = \frac{|a_1| - |b_1|}{|b_2|} \geq \frac{|b_2|}{|b_2|} = 1.$$

On the other hand when $i = n - 1$, we have

$$\frac{|f_{n-1}| - |f_{n-2}|}{|b_{n-1}|} = \frac{|b_n| - |a_{n-1}|}{|b_{n-1}|} \leq \frac{-|b_{n-1}|}{|b_{n-1}|} = -1.$$

But this is impossible, no increasing sequence can start at 1 and end at -1. Thus we must have $|a_i| < |b_i| + |b_{i+1}|$ for some i. □

Corollary 3.4. *Every non-zero integral FA_{n-3} frieze with boundary state composed of ± 1 contains a triangulation whose arcs are all labeled ± 1.*

We can now prove the main result of this section:

Proof. By the above corollary, since a non-zero integral A_{n-3} frieze is a non-zero integral FA_{n-3} frieze there is a triangulation whose arcs are labeled ± 1. Since no arc in the frieze is labeled 0, by the Ptolemy relation the configurations in Figure 3 are impossible. This means that the labeling is admissible. Thus the number of friezes is at most the product of the number of admissible labelings and the number of triangulations $C(n-2)$.

But, we already know of $C(n-2)$ non-zero integral friezes: the set of positive integral friezes. Thus, in the case that n is odd, the number of non-zero integral friezes is $C(n-2)$. In the case of n even, for each positive integral frieze F, $\sigma(F)$ is also non-zero integral frieze, and since σ is an involution we have at least $2C(n-2)$ non-zero integral friezes. □

4. Non-zero integral D_n friezes

In [FST], it is shown that the cluster algebra of type D_n can be thought of in terms of (tagged) arcs and triangulations for a punctured n-gon. Thus, in parallel with the A_n case, a non-zero integral D_n frieze is equivalent to a labeling of the (possibly tagged) arcs of a punctured n-gon by non-zero integers such the boundary is labeled 1 and the relations in figure 4 hold.



(A) $ef = ac + bd$ (B) $ef = ac + bd$



(C) $eb = a + d = cf$ (D) $ef = cb + adg$

FIGURE 4. D_n relations

In [FP] we determined that the number of positive friezes for D_n was:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} d(m) \begin{pmatrix} 2n-m-1 \\ n-m \end{pmatrix}$$

Each positive integral D_n frieze has a canonical triangulation, containing all arcs between boundary vertices labeled 1 and enough (untagged) spokes to form a triangulation. The m spokes all have the same label, an integer that divides m.

Theorem 4.1. *The number of non-zero integral friezes of type D_n is*

$$4 \sum_{m=1}^{n} d(m) \begin{pmatrix} 2n-m-1 \\ n-m \end{pmatrix}$$

when n is even and

$$2 \sum_{m=1}^{n} d(m) \begin{pmatrix} 2n-m-1 \\ n-m \end{pmatrix}$$

when n is odd.

Similarly with the non-zero integral A_{n-3} friezes, we will need to consider non-zero integral friezes over an enlarged cluster algebra FD_n where one adds in a frozen variable for each boundary arc of the punctured n-gon. In this case we have the following involutions:

Lemma 4.2. *Given a non-zero integral FD_n frieze, negating all spoke arcs (tagged and non-tagged) gives a non-zero integral frieze. Call this σ_1.*

Proof. Given F, a non-zero integral FD_n frieze, consider a tagged triangulation and an arc in that triangulation. The mutation relation for this arc in the triangulation must be one of the relations in figure 4. If the puncture is not one of the vertices, then F and $\sigma_1(F)$ agree on all arcs involved in the relation and the relation is true for $\sigma_1(F)$.

On the other hand, if one of the vertices involved is the puncture, when one examines the relations in Figure 4, we see that either all terms contain one spoke and one non-spoke variable, in which case the relation for $\sigma_1(F)$ is true since it is the negation of that for F. Otherwise the spoke variables appear an even number of times in each multiplicand and again the $\sigma_1(F)$ relation follows from the relation in F. □

Lemma 4.3. *When n is even, given a non-zero integral FD_n frieze, fix an untagged spoke and negate every second untagged spoke. Negate the opposite set of tagged spokes and all arcs of even length between boundary vertices. This gives a non-zero integral FD_n frieze. Let σ_2 denote this involution.*

Note that that there are really two involutions here, depending on which spoke one fixes, but they are related by σ_1. That is $\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1$ is the other involution.

Proof. Let F be a FD_n frieze. Let $F(a)$ denote the value of F on edge a.

For relation A and B: With out loss of generality, we may assume $F(d) = (\sigma_2(F))(d)$ otherwise we consider $\sigma_1(\sigma_2(F))$. This case then follows from the A_{n-3} case: if none of the arcs are spokes it is clear. Otherwise, one considers the length a spoke to be 1 plus the distance from d, then F and $\sigma_2(F)$ differ in sign on exactly the edges of even length. The boundary of the relation also has an even total length.

For relation C: By symmetry we can just verify the first relation. Since n is even, it follows that the length of a and d have the same parity. If that parity is even, $\sigma_2(F)(a) = -F(a)$ and $\sigma_2(F)(d) = -F(d)$, so $\sigma_2(F)(a)+\sigma_2(F)(d) = -(F(a)+F(d))$. Considering e and b, we have $\sigma_2(F)(e)\sigma_2(F)(b) = -F(e)F(b)$ since one is of even length and the other odd. If the parity is odd, then $\sigma_2(F)(a)+\sigma_2(F)(d) = F(a)+F(d)$ and $\sigma_2(F)(e)\sigma_2(F)(b) = F(e)F(b)$ since the lengths of e and b are both even or both odd. In either case we have $\sigma_2(F)(a) + \sigma_2(F)(d) = \sigma_2(F)(e)\sigma_2(F)(b)$ when $F(a) + F(b) = F(e)F(b)$.

For relation D: First note that $\sigma_2(F)(d)\sigma_2(F)(g) = -F(d)F(g)$. Suppose that c and b are both even or both odd. Then $\sigma_2(F)(e)\sigma_2(F)(f) = F(e)F(f)$ and $\sigma_2(F)(c)\sigma_2(F)(b) = F(c)F(b)$. Then a is of even length, so $\sigma_2(F)(a) = -F(a)$. Thus $\sigma_2(F)(a)\sigma_2(F)(d)\sigma_2(F)(g) = F(a)F(d)F(g)$. On the other hand, the lengths of c and b have opposite parity, we have $\sigma_2(F)(e)\sigma_2(F)(f) = -F(e)F(f)$ and $\sigma_2(F)(c)\sigma_2(F)(b) = -F(c)F(b)$. Then a is of odd length, so $\sigma_2(F)(a) = F(a)$. Thus in either case when the relation is satisfied under F it is satisfied under $\sigma_2(F)$. □

Using these two involutions, we can generate 4 non-zero integral friezes from each positive frieze when n is even and 2 when n is odd. All that

remains is to prove that we have no more. We will follow the method of proof from [FP], but adjusted to allow arcs labeled by -1. From this point onwards

Lemma 4.4. *Given a non-zero integral FD_n frieze for $n \geq 2$, with a boundary state consisting of ± 1, there exists a triangulation consisting of arcs between boundary vertices labeled ± 1 and m untagged spokes labeled by $\pm d$ for some non-zero integer d.*

Proof. When $n = 2$ we are in the situation of figure 4c. We cannot have $a = -d$, otherwise one of e or b will be 0. Thus $a = d$, so $|a + d| = 2$ and it follows that $|eb| = 2 = |cf|$. If $|e| = |c|$, then since $e|2$ we take the arcs labeled e and c for the triangulation. Otherwise $|e| \neq |c|$, in which case with out loss of generality, $|e| = 2$ so $|b| = 1$, and we take the arcs labeled c and b, and consider b to be a loop rather than a tagged arc.

When $n > 2$, we fall into two cases, either there exists an internal arc of length 2 labeled ± 1 or there are none. If there is one, cut the triangle subtended by the arc off, then we have a FD_{n-1} frieze and by induction, it follows that the required triangulation exists.

Otherwise all arcs of length 2 have labels of norm larger than 1. Consider the triangulation by untagged spokes. Suppose the i-th spoke (modulo n) has label f_i and the arc of length 2 crossing the i-th spoke has label a_i. The boundary state is given by b_i, where b_i labels the boundary arc joining vertex $i - 1$ and i.

Then we have:

$$a_i f_i = b_{i+1} f_{i-1} + b_i f_{i+1}.$$

Taking norms and using triangle inequality, (note that $|b_i| = 1$) we have

$$|a_i||f_i| \leq |f_{i-1}| + |f_{i+1}|.$$

And since $|a_i| \geq 2$, we have

$$2|f_i| \leq |f_{i-1}| + |f_{i+1}|,$$

or

$$|f_i| - |f_{i-1}| \leq |f_{i+1}| - |f_i|.$$

The sequence $|f_i| - |f_{i-1}|$ is cyclic and monotone, so it is constant. Then the sequence $|f_i|$ is monotone and also cyclic, so it is also constant. □

Given a non-zero integral FD_n frieze and a triangulation of the punctured n-gon, we associate a **sign configuration**. It is a labeling of the triangulation by ± 1 where an edge is labeled 1 if the corresponding label in the frieze is positive and -1 when the label is negative.

Lemma 4.5. *Given a non-zero integral FD_n frieze with boundary ± 1 and the triangulation from lemma 4.4, the associated sign configuration is admissible in the sense of section 2 when we cut along one of the untagged spokes of the triangulation to obtain a triangulation of a $n + 2$-gon.*

Proof. Note that any quadrilateral in the $n+2$-gon either comes from a honest quadrilateral in the punctured n-gon or in the case where the is only one untagged spoke, from the following configuration (self folded triangle) where the cut is along c:



Quadrilaterals in the punctured n-gon come in two classes as well: those whose vertices are all boundary vertices and those which have the puncture as one boundary vertex. In the first case, all arcs of the quadrilateral are already labeled ± 1 and the two diagonals are non zero. It then follows from the relation in figure 4a that there are an even number of -1 labels on the quadrilateral and thus this quadrilateral is admissible.

In the second case, the quadrilateral has two adjacent arcs labeled ± 1 and two adjacent arcs labeled $\pm d$ (the spokes). Since the product of the diagonals of the quadrilateral is nonzero, the sum of the product of the opposite labels of the quadrilateral are either $2d$ or $-2d$, so we cannot have an odd number of the arcs of the quadrilateral be negative and thus the quadrilateral is admissible

In the self-folded case, we see that a and f are labeled ± 1. If they have opposite sign, then $a + f = 0 = be$ so one of b or e would be zero. This is not the case, so a and f have the same sign. Cutting along c revealed a quadrilateral with sides a, f, c and c. Since the sign of a and f agree, the quadrilateral is admissible. □

In the case of a non-zero integral D_n frieze, up to σ_1, we may assume that the sign configuration has at least one spoke labeled 1. Cutting along this spoke gives triangulation of the $n+2$-gon and an admissible labeling with boundary state 1. By section 2 it follows that either all arcs are labeled 1 or n is even and even length arcs are labeled -1.

The sign configuration falls into one of 4 categories:

(1) All arcs are labeled 1.
(2) All arcs are labeled 1 but spokes, which are labeled -1.
(3) n is even and all odd length arcs are labeled 1 and even length arcs are labeled -1. Counting from the spoke which was cut, spoke 0 is positive and the sign of spoke i is given by parity of the distance along the boundary from spoke 0 with odd corresponding to -1 and even to 1.
(4) The same as above, except the spokes have opposite labels.

But now we see that if we apply a combination of σ_1 and σ_2, we can turn a non-zero integral D_n frieze a positive D_n frieze. That is σ_2 moves the sign

from type 3 and 4 to 1 and 2 and σ_1 from 2 to 1. Once we have a positive sign configuration, the entire frieze is positive since a single cluster is.

We can now prove theorem 4.1:

Proof. In the case that n is odd, applying σ_1 to the set of positive D_n friezes shows that we have at least twice as many non-zero integral friezes of type D_n as positive ones. On the other hand the above reasoning shows that any non-zero integral D_n frieze can be brought to a positive one by applying σ_1. So there are exactly

$$2\sum_{m=1}^{n} d(m)\begin{pmatrix} 2n-m-1 \\ n-m \end{pmatrix}$$

non-zero integral D_n friezes when n is odd.

Similarly when n is even, since we have σ_1 and σ_2, we have

$$4\sum_{m=1}^{n} d(m)\begin{pmatrix} 2n-m-1 \\ n-m \end{pmatrix}$$

non-zero integral D_n friezes when n is even. □

5. B_n, C_n AND G_2

The results for B_n, C_n and G_2 can be derived from the results D_{n+1}, A_{2n-1} and D_4 respectively by using the folding methods of [DUP]. To summarize, if Δ is a Dynkin quiver and G a group of automorphisms of Δ, then Δ/G is a valued quiver and the action of G lifts to the cluster algebra $A(\Delta)$. In the case of B_n, G is generated by the automorphism of D_{n+1} which swaps the short arms. For C_n, G is generated by the automorphism of A_{2n-1} which reflects the diagram through the centre node. For G_2, G is generated by the order 3 automorphism of D_4 which rotates the diagram about its central node.

In this case [DUP, Corollary 5.16] shows that $A(\Delta/G)$ can be identified with a subalgebra of $A(\Delta)/G$. More over [DUP, Corollary 7.3] gives equality since Δ is Dynkin. The projection $\pi : A(\Delta) \to A(\Delta)/G$ can then be thought of as a surjective ring homomorphism from $A(\Delta)$ to $A(\Delta/G)$, which sends the cluster variables of $A(\Delta)$ to the cluster variables of $A(\Delta/G)$ via a quotient by G.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Δ by a Dynkin quiver and G a group of automorphisms, then each non-zero integral Δ/G frieze gives rise to a G invariant non-zero integral Δ frieze. More over, each non-zero integral Δ frieze that is G invariant descends to a non-zero integral Δ/G frieze.*

Proof. We consider a non-zero integral Δ frieze to be a ring homomorphism from the cluster algebra $A(\Delta)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ sending each cluster variable to a non-zero integer. Then a non-zero integral Δ/G frieze gives a Δ frieze by composition with π. Since π is G invariant so is the composition.

Given a non-zero integral Δ frieze, if it is G invariant, it descends to a ring homomorphism from $A(\Delta)/G$ to $\mathbb{Z}$, but this gives a non-zero integral Δ/G frieze. □

Theorem 5.2. *There are* $2\begin{pmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ *non-zero integral* C_n *friezes.*

Proof. Given a non-zero integral C_n frieze, lift it to a non-zero integral A_{2n-1} frieze. Since $2n-1$ is odd, if the frieze is not already positive applying σ will make it so. Since σ does not change the magnitude of the labels and makes all signs positive, the result will be G invariant. Since there are $\begin{pmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ positive G invariant frieze ([FP]), it follows that the number of non-zero integral C_n friezes is at most $2\begin{pmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$.

On the other hand, the action of G on A_{2n-1} identifies exactly those arcs that are diametrically opposed. But these arcs have the same length so given a G invariant A_{2n-1} frieze, applying σ to it will leave it G invariant.

Thus there are twice as many non-zero integral C_n friezes as there are positive C_n friezes. □

Theorem 5.3. *There are* $2\sum_{m\leq\sqrt{n+1}}\begin{pmatrix} 2n-m^2+1 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ *frieze of type* B_n.

Proof. Given a non-zero integral B_n frieze, lift it to a G invariant D_{n+1} frieze. Applying some combination of σ_1 and σ_2 will give a positive frieze which will still be G invariant (see above proof).

The action of G on D_{n+1} identifies the tagged arc with its corresponding untagged arc. The result of applying σ_2 or $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ to a G invariant frieze will no longer be G invariant, since the labels of a tagged/untagged pair will differ by sign. On the other hand applying σ_1 leave the frieze G invariant, since it negates all spokes arcs.

Thus there are twice as many non-zero integral B_n friezes as there are positive ones. □

Theorem 5.4. *Finally for the* G_2 *case, there are just* 9 *non-zero integral friezes and they are all positive friezes.*

Proof. Suppose we have a non-zero integral G_2 frieze, we can lift it to a G invariant non-zero D_4 frieze. More over, by applying a combination of σ_1 and σ_2 we will obtain a positive D_4 frieze which must still be G invariant.

The G action on D_4 leading to G_2 identifies the following arcs in a punctured 4-gon:



Applying σ_2 or $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2$ to a positive G invariant D_4 frieze gives a non-zero frieze which is not G invariant since the labels of each pair of spokes have different signs. Applying σ_1 negates all spoke labels but does not change the label of the diagonal arc in the above diagram, thus it is also not G invariant. □

6. Future directions

By replacing positive by non-zero, we open the doors to consider the structure of friezes over rings of integers. Interesting choices for such rings might include $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ for ζ a root of unity.

The results in this direction would look slightly different than those above. For instance iterating lemma 3.3 shows that starting with a boundary state with bounded norm, one can obtain a triangulation of the n-gon where arcs of length i have labels bounded by the sum the norms of the labels on one side of the initial polygon.

Indeed if we consider the case of A_2 we have the following:

Theorem 6.1. *There are* 12 *nonzero* $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ *friezes of type* A_1.

Proof. Since the two cluster variables are x_1 and $\frac{2}{x_1}$, it suffices to find all elements $x_1 \in R$ such that $2/x_1 \in R$. The original non-zero integral friezes ± 1 and ± 2 work. We also have $\pm 1 \pm i$, $\pm i$ and $\pm 2i$. □

In each case it is possible to pick a triangulation such that the norm of the label of the internal arcs is bounded (strictly) by 2.

Irrespective of this problem, we should expect the following to be true:

Conjecture 6.2. *There are finitely many non-zero* $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ *friezes of Dynkin type, for any* ζ *a root of unity.*

References

[ARS10] I. Assem, C. Reutenauer and D. Smith, Friezes, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 3134–3165.

[CC] J. H. Conway, H. S. M. Coxeter, Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns, *Math. Gaz.* **57** (1973), 8794 and 175-183.

[DUP] G. Dupont, An approach to non-simply-laced cluster algebras, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 1626-1661.

[FP] B. Fontaine, P-G. Plamondon, Counting D_n friezes, arXiv:1409.3698

[FZ1] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras, *I. Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), 497-529

[FST] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, D. Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes, *Acta Mathematica* **201** (2008), 83–146

E-mail address: bfontain@math.cornell.edu

Bruce Fontaine, Department of Mathematics, 310 Malott Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY USA.